

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.6103 of 2014

Date of Decision.30.07.2015

2. C.R. No.6104 of 2014

Parminder Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

Inderjit Singh Gulati

.....Respondent

Present: Mr. Bhriгу Dutt Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. In a suit for partition where a preliminary decree is granted, the defendant who contested the suit has preferred an appeal and asked for stay of passing of final decree. The stay has not been granted and it appears that the case is being adjourned from time to time. The revision petition is filed against the proceedings of the Appellate Court to point out that the appropriate direction must be given for immediate disposal. Yet another revision petition is against the order of the trial Court declining to stay its own proceedings after a preliminary decree is passed.

2. Both the revision petitions are untenable and cannot be supported. I dismiss them both.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

July 30, 2015

Pankaj*