

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.6332 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision.27.11.2015**

Surjit Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Kamaljit Kaur (since deceased) through LRs and others.....Respondents

Present: Mr. P.S. Punia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The plea for additional evidence was declined which is the subject of revision. In the meanwhile, it appears that the suit itself has been decided. The petitioner will have an appropriate remedy to take this as a ground on appeal under Section 105 of the Civil Procedure Code.

2. The civil revision does not require to be considered on merits and it is disposed of as having become infructuous with the above observations.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

November 27, 2015
Pankaj*