

**122 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.6325 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: December 11, 2015

Satbir

.... Petitioner

vs.

Ram Singh and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. M.S. Kathuria, Advocate for
Mr. Rakesh Nehra, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the lower court erred in allowing the additional evidence by way of production of mutation No.1176 along with Hindi translation.

While exercising the revisional powers, this court does not find any illegality or infirmity in the impugned order dated 23.07.2015 passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Bahadurgarh.

Accordingly, the present revision petition stands dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

December 11, 2015
sarita