

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.6140 of 2012 (O&M)

Date of decision: 26.11.2015

Vinod Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

Ashok Kumar and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present : Mr. Ajay Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Santosh Sharma, Advocate for the respondents.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The present revision petition arises out of an application for injunction, under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 of the Code of Civil Procedure, moved by the plaintiffs (respondents No.1 and 2). Vide order dated 28.07.2011, the trial Court had directed both the parties to maintain status quo with regard to alienation, possession and nature of the suit land till the decision of the suit. As an appeal preferred against the said order by the petitioner-defendant No.1 failed and was dismissed vide judgment dated 24.08.2012, petitioner-defendant No.1 is before this Court.

Ex facie, the order dated 28.07.2011 is in operation for the passed over four years. Learned counsel for both the parties submit that both the parties have already led and concluded their evidence and the matter is now listed for arguments on 1.12.2015.

That being so, counsel for the parties have reached a consensus that let this revision petition be disposed of, without examining the dispute on merits, with a direction to the trial Court to consider and decide the suit on the date already fixed i.e. 1.12.2015, if possible, or maximum within a period of one month thereafter and in the interregnum, parties shall maintain status quo as regards alienation, possession and nature of the suit property.

Ordered accordingly.

Revision petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

November 26, 2015

Manoj Bhutani

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE