

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CR No.6314 of 2015

Date of decision: October 05, 2015

M/s Lakshmi Energy & Foods Ltd.

..... Petitioner

Versus

PUNSUP and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Deepali Puri, Advocate for caveator/respondent
No.1.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

KULDIP SINGH, J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present revision is the order dated 09.09.2015 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Sole Arbitrator whereby cross-examination of claimant witness was closed on the ground that same was to be conducted at 12.00 P.M. and it is already past 1.00 P.M.

The respondents-caveator have put in appearance of

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as per the visitors register maintained at the entrance of the building of PUNSUP, the respondents were present.

Without going into the controversy and after hearing both the counsel for the parties, the impugned order is set aside and liberty is given to the present petitioner to cross-examine the witness of the claimant. As agreed by the parties, case be taken up on 14.10.2015 at 4.00 P.M. The witness and both the counsel are directed to remain present for cross-examination.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

October 05, 2015
jt