

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 6012 of 2014 (O&M)  
Date of decision: April 21, 2015

Smt. Sheela Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

General Public and others

...Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN**

1. **Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. DK Tuteja, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harsh Kinra, Advocate,  
for respondent No.3.

**K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)**

1. The amount claimed by the mother in succession proceedings was on the basis that she was heir at law along with the widow of a government employee who died in harness. The retiral benefits were ordered to be given only to the nominee in the succession proceedings. The court below declined the succession certificate to be issued to the mother along with the widow.

2. The order is erroneous. A nominee can take it only as a trustee and if there were disputes between the parties and succession certificate was sought, the certificate could have been issued only to the persons who were the legal heirs under Section 8 of the Hindu Succession act.

3. The order already passed is set aside and the succession

certificate is ordered to be issued jointly in the name of the mother and the widow. If any amount has been withdrawn already by the widow, the same shall be shared equally with the petitioner.

4. The revision petition is disposed of on the above terms.

**April 21, 2015**  
prem

**(K.KANNAN)**  
**JUDGE**