

**120 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.6245 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: September 21, 2015

Subhash Chander

.... Petitioner

vs.

Samunder Singh and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. S.S. Shekhawat, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 28.08.2015 (Annexure P-3) passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Jhajjar, vide which the evidence of the petitioner-defendant was closed by orders.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that after giving him the third opportunity, the evidence of the petitioner-defendant was closed by the lower court and he seeks only one more opportunity to conclude his evidence.

Therefore, without issuing notice to the opposite party, one more opportunity is granted to the petitioner-defendant to conclude his evidence at his own risk and responsibility. He can take the summons *dasti*. The court will not compel the appearance of the

witnesses. The petitioner-defendant is also burdened with the costs of ₹5,000/- to be deposited in the District Legal Aid Funds, Jhajjar.

The present revision petition is, accordingly, allowed.

September 21, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE