

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 6478 of 2011 (O&M)
Date of decision: March 17, 2015

Municipal Council, Palwal

...Petitioner

Versus

Devi Ram and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. **Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Kaushik, Advocate,
for respondents No. 3 to 8, 16, 19, 20, 70, 71 & 73 to 77.

Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate,
for respondents No. 9, 11, 13, 18 and 41 to 45.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

There is no representation for the petitioner. There has been no representation on the previous hearing as well. The revision petition is dismissed for default with costs of Rs. 5,000/- to each of the contesting respondents. No application for restoration shall be entertained by the Registry without proof of payment of costs.

March 17, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE