

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.6427 of 2011
Date of Decision.24.03.2015**

Sheela Rani

.....Petitioner

Versus

Deepak Jain and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. K.S. Boparai, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vaibhav Sehgal, Advocate
for respondent Nos.1, 4 and 7.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The counsel for the petitioner says that the interim order was passed in a suit and the suit itself appears to have been dismissed in default. There is no scope for adjudication on the correctness of the interim order passed in a suit that has been dismissed for non-prosecution.

2. The revision petition is dismissed. The petitioner is at liberty to revive this revision petition as and when the suit is restored to file.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

March 24, 2015
Pankaj*