

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.6094 of 2013

Date of Decision.31.07.2015

Ashok Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

Shashi Pal and others

.....Respondents

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Avnish Mittal, Advocate
for the respondents.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. There is no representation for the petitioner. Learned counsel for the respondents states that the petitioner perhaps is not interested in prosecuting the case since the petitioner has taken possession of the property.
2. The revision petition is dismissed for default of appearance and non-prosecution.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

July 31, 2015
Pankaj*