

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 6093 of 2013

Date of Decision: August 25, 2015

Sunder Sham

...Petitioner

Versus

Surinder Paul & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Ms. Upasna Dhawan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Shekhar Verma, Advocate and
Mr. Dushyant Sarvesh, Advocate
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (Oral):

Counsel for the petitioner states that during the pendency of the present revision petition, a compromise has been entered into between the parties and accordingly, possession of the demised premises has been handed-over to the respondents.

This fact is not disputed by the counsel for the respondents.

In view of the above, the present revision petition is disposed of as infructuous.

August 25, 2015

Harish

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**