

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 5891 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: May 21, 2015

Umesh Chander

...Petitioner

Versus

Raj Karwal

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Navin Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

The order passed fixing provisional rent was appealed against before the lower appellate court, which declined the same as not competent. The order is erroneous and it is set aside. Every order passed determining the provisional rent is an order under Section 13 of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, and hence competent to be brought in challenge before the appellate court. The impugned order is set aside and the matter is remanded to the District Judge for taking the appeal on file and dispose of the same in accordance with law.

The revisoin is allowed on the above terms.

May 21, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE