

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 5883 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: May 28, 2015

Dev Singh and another ...Petitioners
Versus

State of Punjab and another ...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. NK Verma, Sr. DAG, Punjab,
for respondent No.1.

Mr. MK Singla, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

1. I had directed at the previous hearing that the petitioners shall restore the possession in the manner directed by the decree and report to the court and the direction was given on a consideration of the fact that here was a valid grievance for the decree-holder to complain that the property detailed as a passage was attempted to be encroached by the petitioners. There was an apparent disobedience of the decree for injunction. Today there is no appearance on behalf of the petitioners. I do not think that the conduct of the petitioners is justified.

2. The revision petition is dismissed. The executing court will take further process in execution by giving effect to the order of arrest in the manner contemplated in law.

May 28, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

