

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.6169 of 2015

Decided on: 18.09.2015

SUSHMA RANI & ORS . . . Petitioners

Versus

JAGDISH GROVER & ORS . . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment? Yes/No*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not? Yes/No*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No*

Present: Mr. Sandeep Khunger, Advocate
for the petitioners.

KULDIP SINGH, J. (Oral)

Impugned in the present revision is the order dated 21.07.2015, passed by the learned Additional District Judge, Fazilika, whereby the prayer of the present petitioner to adjourn the appeal titled 'Sushma Rani Vs. Jagdish Grover & Another' *sine-die* was dismissed.

The plea of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that he has challenged the judgment and decree under that appeal in a different suit. Therefore, decision of lower Appellate Court will affect his suit pending before the lower Court, I am of the view that

C.R. No.6169 of 2015

the appeal is in continuation of the suit. The present petitioner challenged the judgment and decree against which the appeal is pending, and if he succeeds, the appeal of the lower Court as well as judgment and decree of the First Appellate Court will be set aside. However, this is not a valid ground to adjourn the appeal *sine-die*. Therefore, no ground to interfere in the impugned order.

The present revision is accordingly dismissed.

[KULDIP SINGH]
JUDGE

18.09.2015
sachin