

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.6137 of 2015

Date of Decision: 17.09.2015

Atul Kumar

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Rakesh Chander Goel and Others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present:- Mr.Malkeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has come up in the revision against the order dated 25.08.2015, (Annexure P-3), passed by learned Addl.Civil Judge (Senior Division), Phillaur whereby allowing the objections against the draft sale deed dated 27.07.2015 preferred by the respondent/judgment debtor.

A perusal of the order dated 20.03.2015 passed by this Court in Civil Revision No.834 of 2015 in paragraph 14, Atul Kumar (respondent in CR No.834 of 2015) had conceded to the claim of the petitioners regarding mentioning of the words, 'as is where is basis' in the sale deed, hence, the Court did not pass any direction on this issue. However, as per undertaking given by the respondent, the revision petition was disposed of that the sale deed shall be now got registered by mentioning the the total consideration of Rs.2,18,00,000/- which was to be paid by the vendee to the vendors by adding the words, "as is where is basis".

The objections filed by the judgment debtors was rightly considered by the trial Court and had been disposed in view of the order passed by this Court in CR No.834 of 2015, wherein, the line should be written as "as is where is basis", so there is no need to mention the words "*Hotel Sambandi Sariaan Manjuriaan Theek*

in the proposed draft sale deed and it was directed to obtain the fresh proposed draft sale after deleting the above said words from the decree holder.

In view of the above, there is no need to interfere in the impugned order dated 25.08.2015 and the present revision petition is dismissed.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

17.09.2015
anil