

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.5831 of 2014
Date of Decision.10.04.2015**

Smt. Parmila

.....Petitioner

Versus

Sarjeet and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Himanshu Puri, Advocate for
Mr. J.S. Ghuman, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Indu Bala, Advocate
for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the revision petition has become infructuous and seeks for disposal as such.
2. The revision petition is disposed of as having become infructuous.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

April 10, 2015
Pankaj*