

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 6117 of 2015 (O/M)
Date of decision : 17.9.2015

Gurjiwan Singh alias Jeewan Singh Revisionist

Versus

Sanjeev Kumar and another Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. S.S. Grewal, Advocate, for the revisionist.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Impugned in the present revision is the order dated 27.7.2015 (Annexure-P-1), passed by the learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Mansa, vide which the evidence of defendant No. 1/revisionist was closed by orders and the case was posted for rebuttal evidence as well as arguments.

I have heard the learned counsel for the revisionist and have also carefully gone through the file.

The perusal of the interim order shows that seven effective opportunities were granted to defendant No. 1/revisionist to

CR No. 6117 of 2015 (O/M)

conclude his evidence. Not even a single witness has been examined so far. Even the costs were imposed twice, but the evidence was not concluded by defendant No. 1/revisionist. Under the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, only three opportunities are required to be granted. Since seven opportunities have already been granted, there was no justification for further adjournment. As such, there is no illegality or infirmity in the impugned order.

The present revision is accordingly dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

17.9.2015
sjks