

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.5824 of 2014
Date of Decision : 2.3.2015**

Veena Rani

.....Petitioner

Versus

M/s Decent Bearing Company and another

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURMIT RAM

Present: Ms. Sheena Khanna, Advocate for the petitioner.

GURMIT RAM, J.

This revision petition is preferred by the petitioner Veena Rani against the order dated 15.4.2014 passed by the learned Rent Controller, Ludhiana vide which the amount of provisional rent of the demised premises is assessed. It is pleaded that the amount of provisional rent as assessed by the learned Rent Controller, Ludhiana is less without appreciating the evidence led by the petitioner for this purpose.

In support of above averments, the petitioner has brought on record her bank statement account to show that at present the respondent has been paying the rent of the demised premises @ Rs.4,283/- per month. But the petitioner has not brought on record any evidence to show that the entry of amount of Rs.4,283/- per month in her bank account is pertaining to the rent as deposited by the tenant qua the demised premises. She was supposed to bring on record some sort of evidence to establish the fact that the said entry of the amount of

Rs.4,283/- in her bank account is the rent amount of the demised premises as allegedly deposited by her tenant.

So, when the petitioner has not been producing the proper evidence before the learned Rent Controller for assessment of provisional rent, no fault can be found in the impugned order regarding assessment of the provisional rent qua the demised premises. Therefore, this revision petition stands dismissed and disposed of accordingly.

2.3.2015
Brij

(GURMIT RAM)
JUDGE