

**110 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.6089 of 2015
Date of Decision: September 16, 2015**

Subrati Khan

.... Petitioner

vs.

Smt. Mehmoodi

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. S.K. Bawa, Advocate for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 21.08.2015 passed by learned Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Mewat, vide which the application for depositing the diet money for summoning of witness, was dismissed. Also impugned is the order dated 04.12.2014 vide which the parties were ordered to deposit PF, DM and list of witnesses within a week.

Vide impugned order dated 21.08.2015, the request of the petitioner-defendant to summon the witnesses was declined on the ground that after framing of the issues, the list of the witnesses was to be filed within one week, which was not done.

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the opposite party, the impugned order dated 21.08.2015 is modified to

the extent that the petitioner-defendant is now directed to file the list of witnesses within next seven days from the date of passing of the order and apply for the summons. However, it will be his responsibility to take the summons dasti and produce the witnesses. The petitioner shall also pay ₹5,000/- as costs towards District Legal Aid Funds, Mewat at Nuh.

Accordingly, the present revision petition stands allowed.

September 16, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE