

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CM No. 18339-CII of 2014 in/and
CR No. 5798 of 2014 (O/M)
Date of decision : 1.9.2015

Sanjeev Kumar

..... Revisionist

Versus

Lachhman Dass

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Ajit Kumar Sharma, Advocate, for,
Mr. S. S. Sahu, Advocate, for the applicant-revisionist.

Ms. Anna Bansal, Advocate, for respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

:-

:-

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

CM No. 18339-CII of 2014

There is 157 days' delay in filing the present revision.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, which is accompanied by the affidavit of the revisionist, the delay of 157 days in filing the present revision is condoned.

Application is accordingly disposed of.

Main case

Impugned in the present revision is the order dated 18.12.2013, passed by the learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior

CR No. 5798 of 2014 (O/M)

Division) Budhlada, District Mansa, vide which the plaintiff was allowed to pay the penalty on the unstamped receipt dated 24.5.2012.

After going through the impugned order and after hearing the learned counsel for the parties, I am of the view that the lower Court has admitted in evidence the document in question i.e. receipt. Therefore, the penalty under Section 35 of the Indian Stamp Act, was allowed to be paid. There is nothing illegal in the impugned order.

The present revision is accordingly dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

1.9.2015
sjks