

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.5756 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision : 07.09.2015

Anand

...Petitioner

Versus

Gram Panchayat Vill. Dayalpur & ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Adish Gupta, Advocate for the respondent No.1.

Mr. Ravi Partap Singh, Asstt. A.G. Haryana.

Mr. Ajit Sihag, Advocate for respondent Nos.6 to 8.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Both the parties are ad idem that in case one plot is reserved in favour of petitioner-plaintiff, no prejudice would be caused as reservation of the plot will not give any right to the petitioner for allotment, until and unless, he proves the case by leading direct and cogent evidence.

Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner submits that suit is at the stage of the evidence of plaintiff and his client would conclude evidence as expeditiously as possible within a period

of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and, thereafter the trial Court may put the respondents-defendants to the terms of limiting the period for leading evidence.

In view of aforementioned statements, present petition is disposed of with direction to the trial Court that it shall decide the suit by giving three opportunities to the petitioner-plaintiff and three to the respondent-defendants and decide the same in accordance with law.

In the meantime, area measuring 100 square yards out of bara in dispute shall be reserved and shall not be tinkered with, till decision of the suit. The aforementioned findings will not entail the petitioner-plaintiff's right of allotment until and unless he proves the case by leading direct and cogent evidence.

Petition stands disposed of in abovestated terms.

07.09.2015

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**