

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.5752 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 09.12.2015**

Sunil Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

Babita

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

- Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
- To be referred to the Reporters or not?
- Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. R.S.Bains, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S.Dhanda, Advocate for the respondent.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J.(Oral)

In this petition, the petitioner is aggrieved by the order dated 14.07.2014 (Annexure P-5) passed by the Additional District Judge, Yamuna Nagar, at Jagadhari insofar as fixation of maintenance amount @ Rs.40,000/- per month. The matter was referred to Mediation and Conciliation Centre and the same was settled in Mediation case No.1140 of 2015 on 19.11.2015. Both the petitioner and the respondent agreed that the maintenance amount of Rs.30,000/- per month instead of Rs.40,000/- per month as directed by the Additional District Judge, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhari vide order dated 14.07.2014. The same is taken on record.

During pendency of the above petition, this court directed the petitioner to pay litigation cost of Rs.50,000/- on 25.05.2015. Since the

same was not complied as an interim measure, it was directed to pay sum of Rs.25,000/- on 28.08.2015 and it is stated that on 09.09.2015, a sum of Rs. 25,000/- has been paid to the respondent. In view of the above facts and circumstances, the litigation expenses is restricted to Rs.25,000/- only.

Having regard to the latter development that the petitioner and respondent have agreed for Rs. 30,000/- as monthly maintenance. This petition do not survive for consideration. Accordingly, the petition is disposed of.

09.12.2015

pooja saini

**(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**