

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No.6025 of 2015(O&M)
Date of decision: 15.09.2015

Inderjit Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Darshan Kumar and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Sourabh Goel, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. AS Syan, Advocate for the respondents.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Contends that the petitioner was inducted as a tenant in the premises in question @ ₹ 600/- per month and vide order being assailed, the appellate authority has assessed the mesne profits @ ₹ 10,000/- per month, which is apparently excessive, harsh and punitive in nature.

Notice of motion.

Mr. A.S. Syan, Advocate, present in court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

During the course of hearing, a consensus is reached between the counsel for the parties that let the order dated 25.08.2015, be modified and the mesne profits be fixed @ ₹ 5,000/- per month. Counsel for the respondents submits

that the appellate authority be also directed to consider and decide the appeal within a specified time.

That being so, the order dated 25.08.2015 is modified to the extent that the mesne profits as regards the demised premises are fixed @ ₹ 5,000/- per month. Petitioner shall pay the entire arrears as also the future mesne profits at the said rate, within a period of three weeks from today. Appellate authority is requested to consider and decide the appeal within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order, if possible.

Petition is disposed of in the above terms.

September 15, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge