

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 6018 of 2015 (O/M)
Date of decision : 21.9.2015

Jagir Chand and another

..... Revisionists

Versus

Suhava Ram deceased through LRs

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. O.P. Kamboj, Advocate, for the revisionists.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Impugned in the present revision is the order dated 9.12.2014 (Annexure-P-4), passed by the learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Jalalabad (West), whereby the objections filed by the present revisionists, were dismissed.

I have heard the learned counsel for the revisionists and have also carefully gone through the file.

The perusal of the decree shows that decree for specific performance was passed in favour of plaintiff/decreed-holder. The objectors had claimed that they are co-sharers in khasra Nos. 19

CR No. 6018 of 2015 (O/M)

and 20 and also claim to be in exclusive possession of the said khasra numbers. The Executing Court, while dismissing the objections, has observed that only 1/6th share in the land comprised of Rectangle No. 13, Killa Nos. 19(7-16) 20(8-18). from the said khasra number was sold and, therefore, the possession of the said share is to be delivered. No, prima facie, evidence produced before the lower Court to prove that the present revisionists are in exclusive possession of khasra number i.e. Rectangle No. 13, Killa Nos. 19(7-16) 20(8-18). Therefore, only joint possession was delivered. There is no illegality or infirmity in the impugned order.

The present revision is accordingly dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

21.9.2015
sjks