

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.6017 of 2015
Date of decision : 18.09.2015

Baldev Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Sukhdev Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. V.K. Sandhir, Advocate for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Mr. V.K. Sandhir, learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner submits that prior to filing of this petition in this Court, the demarcation of the property, sought to be possessed by way of suit for possession, has already been done and has been proved in accordance with law, subject to objections raised by the respondent.

However, revision petition has been filed against the impugned order dated 13.08.2015, whereby application for appointment of revenue officer for demarcating the property, during the pendency of suit has been dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the revision petition and seeks withdrawal of the same.

Ordered accordingly.

18.09.2015

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**