

**208 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.5918 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of Decision: August 10, 2015

Harnek Singh

.... Petitioner

vs.

Avinash Singh and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Sandeep Wadhawan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajayvir Singh, Advocate for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

Mr. Amit Dhawan, Advocate for respondent No.3.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 04.07.2013 (Annexure P-3) passed by learned District Judge, Jalandhar, whereby the request of present revisionist for transferring the case back from Civil Courts at Nakodar to Civil Courts at Jalandhar, was declined.

Suffice to say that the case was earlier transferred from one Civil Judge, Jalandhar to another Civil Judge, Jalandhar on account of unpleasant incident by one of the party in one court.

In the second court at Jalandhar also, the same incident took place. Therefore, the case was transferred to Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Nakodar. Now, the present revisionist wants the case to be transferred back to Jalandhar on the ground that he cannot afford to

engage new lawyer at Nakodar or afford to take his lawyer from Jalandhar to Nakodar.

I am of the view that since twice the unpleasant incident has taken place in different courts at Jalandhar. Therefore, there is no ground to transfer back the case to the Civil Courts at Jalandhar.

Accordingly, the present revision petition stands dismissed.

However, the lower court is directed to expedite the disposal of the case.

August 10, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE