

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 5914 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of decision: May 21, 2015

Rajesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Bhushan Kumar and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. **Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Mohit Jaggi, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate,
for the respondents.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

1. There is difficulty in understanding the arguments of the respective counsel as to what the trial court order is. I clarify that the Commissioner already appointed shall, during the course of inspection carry out the measurement of both the shops with reference to the breadth of the wall, and assess whether there is any encroachment of one over the other.
2. The revision petition is disposed of with the above direction.

May 21, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE