

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 5855 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of decision: September 29, 2015

Krishan

...Petitioner

Versus

Adesh Kumar and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Ashok Tyagi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pulkit Dagar, Advocate, for
Mr. Aditya Jain, Advocate,
for the respondents.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

The plaintiff's suit is for declaration that the sale deed of the year 2010 said to have been executed by the 1st defendant claiming as a power of attorney of one Sarbati is invalid and for consequential relief. The plaintiff claims an heir under the 7th degree of the Sarbati's alleged husband. The court has directed ad-volrem court fee to be paid. The plaintiff is aggrieved and contends that he has not executed the sale deed nor he is asking for the relief of possession and hence he has fixed the court fee as required for filing a declaratory relief. I allow him the benefit of such valuation and set aside the order and allow the revision.

September 29, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE