

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 5905 of 2015 (O/M)
Date of decision : 2.11.2015

Harish Mahajan

..... Revisionist

Versus

Sonia Gupta

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Anuj Balian, Advocate, for the revisionist.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Impugned in the present revision is the order dated 5.5.2015, passed by the learned District Judge (Family Court), Pathankot, vide which the application of the respondent (wife) under Section 24 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 (in short 'the Act'), for interim maintenance pendente lite during the pendency of the petition under Section 13 of the Act, was allowed.

Learned counsel for the revisionist contends that the order dated 5.5.2015, passed by the learned District Judge (Family Court), Pathankot, under Section 24 of the Act, is an interim order and revision under Article 227 of the Constitution of India lies.

CR No. 5905 of 2015 (O/M)

Even if it is so assumed, it comes out that the respondent-wife is living alongwith two children and Rs. 10,000/- interim maintenance has been allowed, which cannot be called excessive. Therefore, there is no ground to interfere in the impugned order. Hence, the present revision is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

2.11.2015
sjks