

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.5727 of 2012
Date of decision: 05.11.2015**

Hakam Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

Madan Singh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Navdeep Chhabra, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Kameshwar Gumber, , Advocate for respondent No.2

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

In the present case, the lower Court has observed that in the amendment application, it has not been specifically stated as to what amendment is to be made, what words or paragraphs are to be omitted, added or substituted. The amendment sought to be incorporated in the plaint has also not been indicated. Therefore, it cannot be made out as to what is exactly sought to be added, omitted or substituted in the original pleadings. Hence, the application was dismissed as not maintainable.

In view of the observations made by the lower Court, the present revision is dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh application along with the requisite particulars as desired by the lower Court.

05.11.2015
gk

**(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**