

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No.5675 of 2012(O&M)
Date of decision: 28.09.2015

Brahm Parkash

... Petitioner

Versus

Gurmukh Singh through LR's and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Vikas Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. BPS Virk, Advocate for LR's (i) to (iv) of
respondent No.1 & for respondent No.2.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Concededly, the suit filed by the petitioner-plaintiff has since been decided and a decree for injunction has been passed in his favour, counsel for the parties are ad idem that nothing substantive survives in this petition. And, the same be dismissed as having become infructuous.

Ordered, accordingly.

September 28, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge