

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.5560 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision : 10.12.2015

Deepak Budhalia

...Petitioner

Versus

Renu Budhalia

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. H.S. Jaswal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vijay Saini, Advocate for

Mr. Rakesh Mehra, Advocate for the respondent.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The present revision petition is against the order dated 08.07.2014, whereby application under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act has been accepted.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that parties have arrived at compromise, therefore, nothing survives in the present revision petition.

Accordingly, present revision petition is rendered disposed of as infructuous.

10.12.2015

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**