

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP no. 14642 of 1993 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 04.02.2015

Baljeet Kaur and others (Petitioners names prescribed in the cause list as
Kewal Krishan and others)

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

1)Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see
the judgment?

2) To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present : None for the petitioner

Mr. Nilesh Bhardwaj, DAG, Punjab

MAHESH GROVER, J.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioners despite
the fact that the case has been called out twice. Even on the previous date
of hearing, no one had appeared on their behalf.

In the instant petition, the petitioners had prayed for quashing
of Annexures P-2 and P-5 re-fixing their salaries by withdrawing the
proficiency step up granted to them on completion of 8 years of service. An
additional affidavit has been filed by the respondents on 3.4.2014 where in
para 3 it has been stated as under:-

“3. That in compliance with the above directions of this

Hon'ble Court, the present status report/position is as under:-

a) That the relief claimed by the petitioners has

become infructuous as the same has been released to the
petitioners.

b) That the particulars of petitioners, and whether they are still alive or not, are as under:-

S.No	Names in the writ petition	The petitioners who are still working, name of their working place	Date of retirement	Date of death of the petitioners who have been expired
1	Baljeet Kaur	-	31.03.2011	-
2	Padma Devi	-	28.2.2011	-
3	Raksha Devi	-	30.11.2012	-
4	Kewal Krishan Singla	-	31.8.2011	-
5	Sohinder Pal	Rajindra Hospital, Patiala		
6	K.Diwakar	-do-		
7	Jaswinder Kaur	-	Retired	
8	Kishori Lal Verma		31.8.2009	
9	Ravinder Nath Behal	-	30.11.2013	
10	Harjit Kaur	-	30.6.2007	
11	Harjinder Kaur	TB Hospital, Patiala		
12	Seo Lal	PHC, Shutrana (Patiala)		
13	Tarsem Chand	-	30.9.2012	
14	Rajinder Kumar Goyal		31.10.2012	
15	Chaman Lal		31.10.2011	
16	Rakesh Kumar	Rajindra Hospital, Patiala		
17	Bhim Sen		Retired	
18	Darshan Kumar		30.04.2008	
19	Baldev Kumar Passi		31.8.2007	
20	Darshpal Singh			02/09/04
21	Sham Lal Sharma	Civil Hospital Nabha		
22	Ram Sharan Dhir		6.11.2002 (terminated)	
23	Ranjit Singh		31.2.2010	
24	Malkiyat Singh		1.4.2007 (pre mature retirement)	
25	Daljit Singh			
26	Karamjit Singh	CH Sangrur		
27	Parvinder Kaur	C.D Dhiru Ki Majri Patiala		
28	Gulwant Singh		31.10.2006	
29	Raghbir Singh			26.6.2012

S.No	Names in the writ petition	The petitioners who are still working, name of their working place	Date of retirement	Date of death of the petitioners who have been expired
30	Santosh Kumari		30.11.2012	
31	Ramesh Kumar	C.H Dhuri Sangrur		
32	Gurdev Singh		31.10.2009	
33	Kewal Krishan Singla			18.10.2007

Since it has been specifically averred that the benefit has been released to the petitioners and some of the petitioners have also expired, with no representation on behalf of their legal representatives, I am of the view that the instant petition has largely been rendered infructuous. No counter has been filed by the petitioners to controvert the contents of the application.

Hence, in view of above, instant petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

February 04, 2015
rekha

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE