

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 5817 of 2015 (O/M)
Date of decision : 7.9.2015

Surender Rana

..... Revisionist

Versus

Meenu

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate, for the revisionist.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the revisionist states that he wants to withdraw the present revision, stating that an appeal against the impugned order lies under Section 19 of the Family Courts Act, 1984, and it is to be heard by a Bench of two or more Judges.

The present revision is accordingly dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file an appeal against the same order.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

7.9.2015
sjks