

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 5815 of 2015 (O/M)
Date of decision : 7.9.2015

Ankur Gupta

..... Revisionist

Versus

Ekta Gupta and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Dr. Surya Parkash, Advocate, for the revisionist.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Vide impugned order dated 10.8.2015 (Annexure-P-5), the lower Court has allowed the applicant/wife to serve interrogatories on the husband.

The plea of the learned counsel for the revisionist is that in reply to the application for leave to serve interrogatories, he has submitted the reply. However, reply to the interrogatories is to be filed separately. There is no illegality in the impugned order. If the revisionist feels that some document is not with him or he does not want to file reply to a particular interrogatory, he can always do so before the lower Court. The present revision is accordingly dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

7.9.2015
sjks