

**228 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.5715 of 2013
Date of Decision: August 27, 2015**

Mohan Singh

.... Petitioner

vs.

Bhag Singh and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Lalit Pathak, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Nitesh Sanghi, Advocate for respondent No.1.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the orders dated 24.04.2013 (Annexure P-1 and P-2) passed by learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Fatehgarh Sahib, vide which the cross-examination of PW1 Harbans Singh was treated as nil.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have also carefully gone through the case file.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that on 24.04.2013, only PW2 was present and examined, as coming out from the order (Annexure P1).

Without going into the controversy, it is deemed proper that the petitioner be allowed to cross-examine PW1-Harbans Singh.

As such, the present revision petition stands allowed.

The lower court is directed to recall Harbans Singh and give opportunity to the present petitioner to cross-examine the said witness.

August 27, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE