
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.5520 of 2014
Date of Decision: 02.11.2015.**

Amrinder Singh and another ...Petitioners

Versus

Malkiat Singh and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: None.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
 2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
 3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*
- ***

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

It comes out that the plaint of the present petitioners under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC, 1908 has been rejected, as he had failed to pay the ad valorem Court fee as order by the Court in the earlier order.

The Lower Court has recorded that the plaintiff has filed suit only for mandatory injunction and permanent injunction, hence he was made liable to pay requisite Court fee. He was provided sufficient time to pay Court fee but he failed to comply with the orders. I do not find any illegality or infirmity in the said findings.

Civil Revision No.5520 of 2014

[2]

None is appearing on behalf of the petitioner. Therefore, finding no illegality in the impugned order, the present revision petition is dismissed.

November 02, 2015

Ankur

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**