

**110 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.5776 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: September 04, 2015**

Bhupinder Kaur

.... Petitioner

vs.

Satpal Singh and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Rohtash Birtt, Advocate for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 22.07.2015 (Annexure P-2) passed by learned Addl. District Judge, Rupnagar, vide which the injunction granted by the lower court was modified and the following order was passed:

“--- Therefore, impugned order is modified to the effect that the respondents/defendants are restrained from alienating the land more than their share and in specific khasra numbers from the joint land holding/suit land, without getting the joint land holding partitioned from competent authority till the final decision of the suit.”

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have also carefully gone through the case file.

After going through the file and the impugned order, I am of the view that the plaintiff-appellant claims to purchase the share. Therefore, there is nothing illegal in the impugned order.

Accordingly, the present revision petition stands dismissed.

September 04, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE