

**106 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.5759 of 2015

Date of Decision: September 04, 2015

Vikram Singh

.... Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Yogesh Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 02.07.2015 (Annexure P-6) passed by learned Addl. District Judge, Karnal, vide which the evidence of the petitioner was ordered to be closed by orders.

A perusal of the impugned order shows that during the evidence of respondent, Record Keeper was duly served but he did not turn up. However, the lower court having granted last opportunity, closed the evidence of the respondents by orders.

I am of the view that if the witness had not appeared despite service, it is the duty of the court to enforce his presence. Accordingly, the impugned order is set aside. The lower court is directed to procure the presence of the Record Keeper and then proceed with the matter in accordance with law.

The present revision petition is, accordingly, allowed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

September 04, 2015

sarita