

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 5448 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: March 3, 2015

Manjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. **Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Sunil Chadha, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Manish Bansal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Vandhana Malhotra, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

1. The revision is on a short issue of entitlement of a landowner to have the benefit of interest under Section 28 of the Land Acquisition Act (for short '**the Act**'), even apart from the interest awardable under Section 34 of the Act. The Reference Collector had granted interest only under Section 34 of the Act and had failed to provide under Section 28 of the Act. The court has substituted the grant of interest to be under Section 28 instead of Section 34 of the Act. It cannot be an issue of substitution. It ought to be issue under additional provision of interest under section 28 of the Act. The order already passed stands modified and the landowner will have the benefit of interest under Section 28 along with interest under Section 34 of the Act.

2. The revision petition is allowed on the above terms.

March 3, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE