

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No.5733 of 2015

Date of decision: 03.09.2015

Vijay Kumari and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Tarsem Singh

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Anupam Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Vide this petition, filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, a direction is prayed to the Rent Controller, Amritsar, to decide the eviction petition preferred by the petitioner bearing RP-filing No.1352/2013, dated 23.04.2011, within a specific time, as the same is pending since 2011. Nothing is brought on record to show as to what is the stage of the proceedings and when is the matter listed and for what purpose. Interim orders too have not been placed on record to show as to whether the direction prayed for is even warranted.

That being so, the petition is wholly misconceived. No interference is warranted in exercise of revisional jurisdiction under Article 227 of the Constitution of India.

Dismissed.

September 3, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge

