

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.5722 of 2015

Date of Decision: 05.12.2015

ANAMIKA

.....Petitioner

Vs

DINESH SINGH & ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:None for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate
for the respondents.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondents states that the suit itself has been decided by the trial Court vide judgment and decree dated 28.10.2015 and, therefore, this revision petition has become infructuous. Certified copy of the said judgment has been placed on record.

Though the adjournment slip has been filed by the petitioner, however the learned counsel for the respondents states that he has not been informed and opposes the same.

In view of certified copy of judgment and decree dated 28.10.2015, placed on record by learned counsel for the respondents, this revision petition is rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

December 05, 2015

Atik

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**