

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.14535 of 1993
Date of Decision: May 13, 2015

Amolak Raj Bhatla

...Petitioner

Versus

The Commissioner for Workmen Compensation, Panipat & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr.Ashok Kumar, DAG, Haryana,
for the State.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

As per the office report, letter had been sent to Mr.Arun Kumar Singla, Advocate for the petitioner. However, no one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner. Position was not different on 11.2.2015.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to move an appropriate application for revival of the petition in case any cause survives.

May 13, 2015
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE