

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 5717 of 2015 (O/M)
Date of decision : 3.9.2015

Zile Singh and others

..... Revisionists

Versus

Mahender Singh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vikrant Hooda, Advocate, for the revisionists.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

:-

:-

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Impugned in the present revision is the order dated 31.7.2015 (Annexure-P-4), passed by the learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Jhajjar, vide which the application of the plaintiffs/revisionists for leading additional evidence for examination of one witness to prove the sale deed dated 24.10.1998, was dismissed.

Learned counsel for the revisionists contends that their only evidence to prove the sale deed was disallowed by the lower Court. It is contended that the sale deed is already on file though

CR No. 5717 of 2015 (O/M)

the plaintiffs availed six effective opportunities, but due to inadvertence, the sale deed could not be formally exhibited. Therefore, the plaintiffs/revisionists wanted to produce witness to prove the said sale deed.

Keeping in view the fact that the sale deed is the basis of the suit, without issuing notice to the opposite party, the plaintiffs/revisionists are given one more opportunity to examine any witness to prove the sale deed at their own risk and responsibility, subject to the payment of costs of Rs. 10,000/- to the opposite party.

The present revision is accordingly allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

3.9.2015
sjks