

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.5398 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 06.11.2015**

Palvi @ MamtaPetitioner

Versus

Mukesh SharmaRespondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIVE BHALLA
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL**

Present: Mr. Kanwaljit Singh, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Ajaivir Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Santosh Sharma, Advocate
for the respondent.

RAJIVE BHALLA, J (ORAL)

The petitioner challenges orders dated 17.05.2014 and 07.07.2014, passed by the Additional Civil Judge, Sr. Divn., Chandigarh, directing the physical presence of the minor, who is admittedly residing in America.

Counsel for the parties agree, on instructions from the parties, that they would not insist upon the presence of the minor and the trial Court, may if it so desires, while seeking to ascertain the wish of the minor, interact with the minor by video conferencing or such other digital means, as it may deem appropriate.

In view of consensus between counsel for the parties, the revision petition is allowed, and orders dated 17.05.2014 and 07.07.2014, are set aside but with a direction to the trial Court that it shall not insist upon the physical presence of the minor/his personal appearance, but may

interact with the minor by video conferencing/skype/facetime
or by such other digital means, as it may deem appropriate.

[RAJIVE BHALLA]
JUDGE

06.11.2015
Vinay

[REKHA MITTAL]
JUDGE