

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.568 of 2015

Date of decision: January 23, 2015.

Lakhi Ram

... **Petitioner**

v.

Ashok etc.

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DR. BHARAT BHUSHAN PARSOON**

Present: Shri Mukesh Kumar Verma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Dr. Bharat Bhushan Parsoon, J. (Oral):

Counsel for the petitioner seeks to examine three witnesses, i.e., the plaintiff, petitioner herein, photographer from Renu Studio, Village Mirpur, District Rewari and Civil Ahalmad of the court of Smt. Sunita Gupta in the case titled as Umrao Singh v. Kishori Lal. It is claimed that the the said witnesses could not come on the concerned date of hearing when evidence of the plaintiffs was closed on 20.1.2014, which order has been impugned in this revision petition. Counsel for the petitioner-plaintiff undertakes to produce these witnesses on his own responsibility.

Having heard Counsel for the petitioner and keeping in view the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case, however, without issuing any notice to the respondents in order to obviate delay, by setting aside the impugned order, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the trial court to afford an effective opportunity to the petitioner to examine plaintiff Lakhi Ram, Photographer from Renu Studio, Village Mirpur, District Rewari and Civil Ahalmad of the court of Smt. Sunita Gupta in the case titled as Umrao Singh v. Kishori Lal on his own responsibility, however, subject to payment of costs of Rs.10,000/- to be paid to the opposite party.

[Dr. Bharat Bhushan Parsoon]
Judge

January 23, 2015.
kadyan