IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.5354 of 2014
Date of decision: 12.10.2015

Manjinder Pal Singh

…..Petitioner

versus

Gulzar Singh and others

……Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Inderpal Singh Parmar, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Vishwajit Bedi, Advocate for the respondents

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

By way of the impugned order dated 7.7.2014, the learned Civil Judge, Junior Division, Ludhiana has allowed the application filed by the defendants, whereby the expert was permitted to take photographs for comparison of signatures of late Shankar Singh on the alleged agreement dated 7.4.2001 with the admitted signatures of Shankar Singh on the sale deed bearing wasika No.29258 dated 8.12.1994 in favour of Shankar Singh.

After hearing both the counsel, I am of the view that it is an interlocutory order against which no revision lies. Under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, some extra ordinary case has to be made out to show that grave injustice has been done to the petitioner, requiring interference by this Court. The examination of

GOPAL KRISHAN
2015.10.14 16:00
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
High Court Chandigarh

the expert will only bring forth the truth.

No ground is made out to interfere in the impugned order.

The revision stands dismissed.

12.10.2015 **(Kuldip Singh)**
gk **Judge**

GOPAL KRISHAN
2015.10.14 16:00
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
High Court Chandigarh