

**114 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.5592 of 2015
Date of Decision: August 31, 2015**

Smt. Savitri and another Petitioners

vs.

Ram Mehar and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Vikrant Rana, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 28.07.2015 (Annexure P-1) passed by learned learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Gurgaon, vide which the application of the present petitioner to produce the copy of the attendance register with regard to the attendance of deceased Ram Chander husband of petitioner No.1-defendant No.5, was dismissed.

It comes out that the plaintiff had filed an affidavit dated 19.05.2015, in which Ram Chander alleged to have given his share of plot in dispute to the plaintiff vide affidavit dated 19.05.2015. The defendant had already led her evidence and now the case is fixed for arguments. It is at the stage of arguments when the present application was filed.

I am of the view that the record of attendance register was within the knowledge of the defendant and was not produced

and proved at the time when the defendants led their evidence. Secondly, the petitioner merely wants to produce the attendance register, which will not prove the entry in the said register without examination of any witness concerned to prove the said entry.

Therefore, there is no ground to interfere in the impugned order.

Accordingly, the present revision petition stands dismissed.

August 31, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE