

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.5581 of 2015
Date of decision : 28.08.2015

Gurmail Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Meenu Rani Bawa

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Gaurav Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present revision petition is for setting aside the impugned orders dated 21.01.2015 (Annexure P-3) & 22.07.2015 (Annexure P-6), whereby cross-examination of the witnesses has been treated as nil.

Learned counsel for the petitioner-defendant submits that application for recalling of the order dated 21.01.2015 was filed and same was also been rejected vide impugned order dated 22.07.2015.

He further submits that in case one opportunity is granted, the petitioner shall cross-examine the defence of the plaintiff whose cross-examination has been treated as nil.

Prayer made on behalf of learned counsel for the petitioner is fair and honest.

Keeping in view the aforementioned facts, impugned orders dated 21.01.2015 (Annexure P-3) & 22.07.2015 (Annexure P-6) are set aside.

The trial Court is directed to grant one opportunity to the petitioner to cross-examine witnesses of the plaintiff in accordance with law, subject to payment of cost of ₹10,000/-.

I am disposing of this revision petition without issuing notice of motion to the other side in order to prevent them from incurring litigation expenses.

28.08.2015

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**