

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**251**

**Civil Revision No.5571 of 2015(O & M)  
Date of Decision:11.09.2015**

Balbir Kaur and another

....petitioners

Versus

Santokh Singh and another

.....respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI**

- 1.Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr.Ish Puneet Singh, Advocate for  
Ms.Jatinder Jit Kaur , Advocate  
for the petitioners

Mr.M.S.Bedi, Senior Advocate with  
Mr.Arnav Sood, Advocate  
for respondent No.1

\*\*\*

**ARUN PALLI, J.(ORAL):-**

On August 28, 2015, this Court had passed the following order:

Contains that the appeal preferred by the petitioners is pending consideration before the appellate authority for 10.09.2015, but their prayer for ad interim injunction has since been declined, vide order being assailed. It is contended that in the event of the dispossession of the petitioners, not only their appeal would be virtually rendered infructuous, but they shall also suffer an irreparable loss. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that concededly, Hazara Singh, father of the petitioners, was inducted as a tenant in the demised premises and post his death, the tenancy was inherited by his widow and three

daughters, namely, Gurwinder Kaur (JD) and petitioners i.e. Balbir Kaur and Rajwinder Kaur (objectors), whereas the order of eviction that is sought to be executed was obtained and passed only against Gurwinder Kaur (JD). That being so, he submits that the petitioners cannot be dispossessed in execution of the said order of eviction.

**Notice of motion for 04.09.2015.**

**Process dasti as well with the liberty to serve the respondents through their counsel before the appellate court.**

**Status quo, as it exists today, shall be maintained till the adjourned date of hearing.**

**To be taken up immediately after the urgent.**

During the course of hearing, learned counsel for the parties reached a consensus that let the status quo, as it exists today, as regards possession, be maintained till the decision of the appeal. And a direction be issued to the Appellate Authority to consider and decide the appeal within a specified time.

That being so, the order dated 17.08.2015 (Annexure P7) is set aside. Parties to the lis shall maintain status quo as regards possession till the decision of the appeal. The Appellate Authority is requested to consider and decide the appeal within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order. Needless to assert, this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on merits of the claim of either party. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

11.09.2015

*neenu*

**(ARUN PALLI)  
JUDGE**